

Examiner Name:	
Name of Candidate:	
Degree:	
Title of Thesis:	

1. **Do you approve the release of your identity to the candidate?**  Yes  No  
Any confidential comments not for release to the applicant may be made on a separate page and marked 'In Confidence'. Please be aware that under the Freedom of Information Act it might not always be possible to prevent disclosure of such information.
2. **Recommendation: Please select only one of the recommendations below.**  
If the thesis requires amendments the student will be given up to 12 months to complete them.
- The thesis be classified as PASSED with no requirement for correction other than minor typographical or editorial matters. The Chairperson of the Thesis Committee will require that the candidate correct such errors as pointed out by the Examiner; or*
  - The thesis be classified as PASSED after the candidate has made minor textual and/or structural amendments to the satisfaction of the Chairperson of the Thesis Committee as outlined in the Examiner's Report; or*
  - The thesis be classified as PASSED provided the candidate has revised specific sections of the thesis to the satisfaction of the Chairperson of the Thesis Committee as outlined in the Examiner's Report. The Examiner may specify this category for a thesis which requires substantive revisions that will not change the substantive conclusions of the thesis; or*
  - The thesis be SUBMITTED IN A REVISED FORM FOR RE-EXAMINATION by the original Examiner after further research, rewriting, reorganisation, and/or reconceptualisation. The Examiner may specify this category for a thesis which requires major, substantive amendments. In the report the Examiner shall provide detailed guidance to the candidate to assist revision; or*
  - The thesis be classified as FAILED, without right to resubmit the thesis, on the basis that a significant amount of additional research work and/or major substantive revision will not raise the thesis to an acceptable standard.*
3. **Do you wish to recommend that an oral examination be held?**  Yes  No  
An Examiner may recommend an oral examination of the candidate to clarify aspects of the thesis. In this instance, the Examiner shall clearly specify the purpose of the oral examination and the nature of the questions to be put to the candidate. An oral examination will require the participation of at least two examiners, either in person or by teleconference/video conference, and will involve a brief presentation by the candidate followed by a series of questions from the examiners. The final decision to conduct an oral examination will be made by the University Graduate Studies Committee.
4. **If you have recommended that the thesis be PASSED with no requirement for correction other than minor typographical or editorial matters, do you consider the content and presentation of this thesis of sufficient quality to be deserving of a commendation from the Chancellor of the University?**  Yes  No

Signature of Examiner: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

### Grounds for Recommendation

Please state concisely the grounds on which the recommendation is based, indicating fully the strengths and weaknesses of the thesis and any amendments which may be necessary. Your advice will be used to assist the candidate to improve the thesis content and presentation. For this reason, detailed comments are appreciated. Additional pages may be attached as required.

Note that, at Curtin, the research work reported in a thesis for a PhD must represent at least two years' equivalent full time study. Theses for other Doctoral degrees must represent about two thirds of that expected for a PhD. For further guidance in writing your report, please refer to the "*Advice for Examiners*" sheet.

---

Example Only